



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/666,209	09/17/2003	Peter B. Evans	23990-08225	8289
758 7590 05/21/2008 FENWICK & WEST LLP SILICON VALLEY CENTER 801 CALIFORNIA STREET MOUNTAIN VIEW, CA 94041				
EXAMINER				
LO, SUZANNE				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2128				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
05/21/2008		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

### Office Action Summary

**Application No.**

10/666,209

**Applicant(s)**

EVANS ET AL.

**Examiner**

SUZANNE LO

**Art Unit**

2128

**Period for Reply** -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 March 2008.  
2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.  
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.  
4a) Of the above claim(s) 15-18 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  
5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.  
6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-14, 19 and 20 is/are rejected.  
7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.  
8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  
10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 17 September 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:  
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  
3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S508)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 03/28/08  
4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_  
5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application  
6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

**DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-14 and 19-20 have been presented for examination.

**Election/Restrictions**

2. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1-14 and 19-20 in the reply filed on 07/12/06 is acknowledged.

**PRIORITY**

3. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for priority to provisional application 60/411,839 filed on 09/18/02.

**Information Disclosure Statement**

4. The information disclosure statements (IDS) submitted on 03/28/08 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the Examiner has considered the IDS as to the merits.

**Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102**

5. Claim 1-14 and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being clearly anticipated by Optimal Technologies ("Operations Review of June 14, 2000 PG&E Bay Area System Events Using Aempfast Software").

As per claim 1, Optimal is directed to a method for simulating an electric power network having a plurality of transmission-level buses and connected electrical elements and a plurality of distribution-level buses and connected electrical elements, the method comprising: determining a model of the transmission-level buses and connected electrical elements, the model of the transmission-level buses including a plurality of transmission lines and a plurality of transmission electrical elements (page 16, Section 4.2 and page 27, last paragraph); determining a model of the distribution-level buses and connected electrical elements, the model of the distribution-level buses including a plurality of distribution lines and a plurality of distribution electrical element (page 16, Section 4.2 and page 27, last

**paragraph**); generating a single mathematical model by integrating the model of the transmission-level buses with the model of the distribution-level buses, wherein the single mathematical model further models the interdependency of the plurality of transmission lines and the plurality of transmission electrical elements included in the model of the transmission level buses and the plurality of distribution lines and the plurality of distribution electrical elements included in the model of the distribution-level buses (**page 13, Section 3, 5<sup>th</sup> paragraph**); and simulating an operation of the electric power network with the single mathematical model (**page 13, Section 3, 5<sup>th</sup> paragraph**); and outputting data describing the simulated electric power network (**page 21, Section 8**).

As per **claim 2**, Optimal is directed to a method for analyzing an electric power network having a plurality of transmission-level buses and connected electrical elements and a plurality of distribution-level buses and connected electrical elements, the method comprising: determining a model of the transmission-level buses and connected electrical elements, the model of the transmission-level buses including a plurality of transmission lines and a plurality of transmission electrical elements (**page 16, Section 4.2 and page 27, last paragraph**); determining a model of the distribution-level buses and connected electrical elements, the model of the distribution-level buses including a plurality of distribution lines and a plurality of distribution electrical elements (**page 16, Section 4.2 and page 27, last paragraph**); generating a single mathematical model by integrating the model of the transmission-level buses with the model of the distribution-level buses, wherein the single mathematical model further models the interdependency of the plurality of transmission lines and the plurality of transmission electrical elements included in the model of the transmission level buses and the plurality of distribution lines and the plurality of distribution electrical elements included in the model of the distribution-level buses (**page 13, Section 3, 5<sup>th</sup> paragraph**); simulating an operation of the electric power network with the single mathematical model (**page 13, Section 3, 5<sup>th</sup> paragraph**); assessing under load flow analysis at least one of a condition and performance of the simulated electric power network (**page 13, Section 3, 1<sup>st</sup>**

**paragraph)** and outputting data describing at least one of the condition and the performance of the simulated electric power network (**page 21, Section 8**).

**As per claim 3**, Optimal is directed to the method of claim 2, further comprising: integrating models of theoretical distribution-level real and reactive energy sources connected to one or more of the plurality of distribution-level buses into the single mathematical model (**page 13, Section 3, 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph**); and observing impacts and effects across the simulated electric power network of the theoretical distribution-level real and reactive energy sources connected on one or more of the plurality of distribution-level buses (**page 13, Section 3, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph**).

**As per claim 4**, Optimal is directed to the method of claim 2, further comprising: integrating models of theoretical alternative topologies of the distribution-level portion of the electrical power network into the single mathematical model (**page 13, Section 3, 5<sup>th</sup> paragraph**); and observing impacts and effects across the simulated electrical power network of the alternative topologies of distribution-level portions of the network (**page 13, Section 3, 5<sup>th</sup> paragraph**).

**As per claim 5**, Optimal is directed to the method of claim 2, further comprising: integrating additional models of theoretical distribution-level loads into the single mathematical model (**page 13, Section 3, 5<sup>th</sup> paragraph**); and observing impacts and effects of load growth across the simulated electrical power network due to the addition of theoretical distribution-level loads (**page 13, Section 3, 5<sup>th</sup> paragraph**).

**As per claim 6**, Optimal is directed to the method of claim 2, further comprising: integrating models of theoretical transmission-level real and reactive energy sources connected to one or more of the plurality of transmission-level buses into the single mathematical model (**page 13, Section 3, 1<sup>st</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> paragraph**); and observing impacts and effects across the simulated electric power network of the theoretical transmission-level real and reactive energy sources connected on one or more of the plurality of transmission-level buses (**page 13, Section 3, 5<sup>th</sup> paragraph**).

As per claim 7, Optimal is directed to the method of claim 2, further comprising: integrating models of theoretical alternative topologies of the transmission-level portions of the electrical power network into the single mathematical model (page 13, Section 3, 5<sup>th</sup> paragraph); and observing impacts and effects across the simulated electrical power network of the alternative topologies of transmission-level portions of the network (page 13, Section 3, 5<sup>th</sup> paragraph).

As per claim 8, Optimal is directed to the method of claim 2, further comprising: integrating additional models of theoretical transmission-level loads into the single mathematical model (page 13, Section 3, 5<sup>th</sup> paragraph); and observing impacts and effects of load growth across the simulated electrical power network due to the addition of theoretical transmission-level loads (page 13, Section 3, 5<sup>th</sup> paragraph and page 14, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph).

As per claim 9, Optimal is directed to the method of claim 2, wherein the integrating models further comprises: representing actual distribution-level buses and elements having an actual voltage and an actual topology with corresponding models of buses and elements characterized, at least in part, by representations of the actual voltages and the actual topologies of the distribution-level buses and elements (page 13, Section 3, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph).

As per claim 10, Optimal is directed to a method for analyzing performance of a modeled electric power network having a plurality of transmission-level buses and connected electrical elements and a plurality of distribution-level buses and connected electrical elements, the method comprising: determining a model of the transmission-level buses and connected electrical elements, the model of the transmission level buses including a plurality of transmission lines and a plurality of transmission electrical elements (page 16, Section 4.2 and page 27, last paragraph); determining a model of the distribution-level buses and connected electrical elements, the model of the distribution level buses including a plurality of distribution lines and a plurality of distribution electrical elements (page 16, Section 4.2 and page 27, last paragraph); generating a single mathematical model by integrating the

model of the transmission-level buses with the model of the distribution-level buses, wherein the single mathematical model further models the interdependency of the plurality of transmission lines and the plurality of transmission electrical elements included in the model of the transmission level buses and the plurality of distribution lines and the plurality of distribution electrical elements included in the model of the distribution-level buses (**page 13, Section 3, 5<sup>th</sup> paragraph**); assessing by load flow analysis a condition and a performance of the modeled electric power network (**page 15, Section 4.1.1**); adding incremental real and reactive energy sources in locations of the modeled electric power network (**page 13, Section 3, 5<sup>th</sup> paragraph**); assessing by load-flow analysis the condition and performance of the simulated electric power network with the added incremental real and reactive energy sources (**page 15, Section 4.1.1**); determining whether the performance of the modeled electric power network is improved as a result of the added real and reactive energy sources (**page 16, Section 4.1.1**); determining a set of added real and reactive energy sources that yields a greatest improvement in network performance (**page 13, Section 3, 5<sup>th</sup> paragraph**); characterizing the set of added real and reactive energy sources as specific distributed energy resources (**page 13, Section 3, 5<sup>th</sup> paragraph**) and outputting data describing the set of added real and reactive energy resources (**page 21, Section 8**).

**As per claim 11**, Optimal is directed to the method of claim 10, further comprising, quantifying an improvement in performance of the modeled electric power network due to the set of added real and reactive energy sources (**page 13, Section 3, 5<sup>th</sup> paragraph**).

**As per claim 12**, Optimal is directed to the method of claim 10, wherein adding incremental real and reactive energy sources further comprises: representing the energy sources with models of the energy sources characterized, at least in part, by values of corresponding electric power network actual bus location and actual voltage level (**page 13, Section 3, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph**); adding to the single mathematical model the models of the energy sources at one of the distribution-level buses and transmission-level buses, wherein the models of real energy sources are added subject to actual limits appropriate for

dispatchable demand reductions available on the electric power network, and the real energy sources with reactive energy sources are added subject to actual limits appropriate for generation at load sites within the electric power network (page 13, Section 3, 5<sup>th</sup> paragraph).

As per claim 13, Optimal is directed to the method of claim 10, wherein determining whether the performance of the modeled electric network is improved as a result of the addition of energy sources comprises: considering selected characteristics of a reduction of real power losses and reactive power losses, improvement in voltage profile, improvement in voltage stability, improvement of load-serving capability, and avoidance of additions of electric elements connected to the network that would otherwise be required (page 19-20, Section 6.1.2 and Section 6.2).

As per claim 14, Optimal is directed to the method of claim 10, wherein characterizing the additions of real and reactive energy sources comprises: creating a plurality of mathematical models each having both distribution-level buses and connected electrical elements and transmission-level buses and connected electrical elements under a plurality of network operating conditions (page 15, Section 4.1.1); determining the additions of models of real and reactive energy sources that achieve the greatest improvement in network performance of the modeled network under each set of operating conditions (page 13, Section 3, 5<sup>th</sup> paragraph); characterizing each incremental addition of real or reactive energy sources as a discrete generation project, dispatchable demand response project, or capacitor bank project (page 13, Section 3, 5<sup>th</sup> paragraph); and comparing results achieved under each set of operating conditions to derive model profiles for operation of each discrete added energy source model under each different set of operating conditions (page 19-20, Section 6.1.2 and Section 6.2).

As per claim 19, Optimal is directed to a computer readable medium comprising a computer program that when executed in a computer processor implements the steps of: determining a model of the transmission-level buses and connected electrical elements, the model of the transmission-level buses including a plurality of transmission lines and a plurality of transmission electrical elements (page 16,



**Section 4.2 and page 27, last paragraph**); determining a model of the distribution-level buses and connected electrical elements, the model of the distribution-level buses including a plurality of distribution lines and a plurality of distribution electrical elements (**page 16, Section 4.2 and page 27, last paragraph**); generating a single mathematical model by integrating the model of the transmission-level buses with the model of the distribution-level buses, wherein the single mathematical model further models the interdependency of the plurality of transmission lines and the plurality of transmission electrical elements included in the model of the transmission level buses and the plurality of distribution lines and the plurality of distribution electrical elements included in the model of the distribution-level buses (**page 13, Section 3, 5<sup>th</sup> paragraph**); simulating an operation of the electric power network with the single mathematical model (**page 13, Section 3, 5<sup>th</sup> paragraph**); assessing under load flow analysis at least one of a condition and performance of the simulated electric power network (**page 13, Section 3, 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph**) and outputting data describing at least one of the condition and the performance of the simulated electric power network (**page 21, Section 8**).

**As per claim 20**, Optimal is directed to the computer readable medium of claim 19, further comprising a computer program that when executed in a computer processor further implements the steps of: integrating models of theoretical distribution-level sources of real and reactive energy sources connected to one or more of the plurality of distribution-level buses into the single mathematical model (**page 13, Section 3, 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph**); and calculating impacts and effects across the simulated electric power network of the theoretical distribution-level real and reactive energy sources connected on one or more the plurality of distribution-level buses (**page 13, Section 3, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph**).

#### **Response to Arguments**

6. Applicant's arguments filed 03/26/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

7. In response to Applicant's argument that Optimal Technologies fails to disclose the "generating a single mathematical model by integrating the model of the transmission-level buses with the model of the distribution-level buses, wherein the single mathematical model further models the interdependency of the plurality of transmission lines and the plurality of transmission electrical elements included in the model of the transmission level buses and the plurality of distribution lines and the plurality of distribution electrical elements included in the model of the distribution-level buses", the Examiner notes that Optimal Technologies uses the Aempfast software to model the Bay area power system. Applicant on page 20 of remarks notes that "additional disclosures further describing the Aempfast software disclosed in optimal Technologies could be obtained at this time." However upon further search and additionally it is well known that Optimal's Aempfast software discloses a single mathematical model with a plurality of transmission and distribution components and further modeling their interdependencies as evidenced by Teresko ("Tackling the Energy Crisis" July 16, 2001) who states, *"Instead of running a day-long traditional power-flow-optimization model, Aempfast has the ability to look at all the contributions made by each element of the grid on a real-time basis"* and BusinessWire ("Innovative Technologies Can Improve National Security; Optimal Technologies Software Able to Make Nation's Power Grid More Secure", Dec 17, 2001) which states, *"Optimal's new Aempfast™ software, now being tested, has the unique ability to "see" the power grid as a whole and in great detail"* and by having *"complex, optimized response strategies, not identifiable by other technologies, involving diversification, flexibility, redundancy, mutual support, and sharing among the grid's power generation, transmission, and distribution resources."* Therefore, Optimal Technologies still fully anticipates claim 1 and all dependent claims as it teaches using Aempfast software to analyze and model a power system, wherein Aempfast is known to model a power system as a single mathematical model with interdependencies between a plurality of components at both the transmission and distribution level.

### **Conclusion**

**THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. The prior art made of record is not relied upon because it is cumulative to the applied rejection. These references include:

1. "Scalable Multi-Agent System for Real-Time Electric Power Management" published by Tolbert et al. in 2001.
2. "Load Following Functions Using Distributed Energy Resources" published by Li et al. in 2000.
3. U.S. Patent No. 6,549,880 B1 issued to Willoughby et al. on 04/15/03.
4. U.S. Patent No. 6,885,915 B2 issued to Rehtanz et al. on 04/26/05
5. U.S. Patent No. 7,096,175 B2 issued to Rehtanz et al. on 08/22/06.

9. All Claims are rejected.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Suzanne Lo whose telephone number is (571)272-5876. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kamini Shah can be reached on (571)272-2297. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2128

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Suzanne Lo  
Patent Examiner  
Art Unit 2128

SL  
05/07/08

/Kamini S Shah/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2128